

870.21 "ACCIDENT" OR "ACCIDENTAL MEANS" ISSUE - EFFECT OF  
DISEASED CONDITION.<sup>1</sup>

Where it is contended that the [injury] [death] of the [plaintiff]  
[plaintiff's deceased] was caused by disease, the following rules apply:<sup>2</sup>

When an accident has caused a diseased condition, which together  
with the accident resulted in the [injury] [death], the accident alone is to  
be considered the cause of the [injury] [death]. That is, the [injury]  
[death] is by accidental means.

When, at the time of the accident, the insured was suffering from  
some disease, but the disease had no causal connection with the [injury]  
[death] resulting from the accident, the accident alone is to be  
considered the cause of the [injury] [death]. That is, the [injury] [death]  
is by accidental means.

However, when at the time of the accident there was an existing  
disease, which, together with the accident, resulted in the [injury]  
[death], the accident cannot be considered as the sole cause or as the  
cause independent of all other causes. That is, the [injury] [death] is not  
by accidental means.

---

1. These rules normally will be relevant in cases with policy language involving  
"accidental means," see N.C.P.I. Civil 870.20, or "accident," see N.C.P.I. Civil 870.25.

2. See *Horn v. Protective Life Ins. Co.*, 265 N.C. 157, 143 S.E.2d 70 (1965) (quoting  
*Penn v. Standard Life Ins. Co.*, 160 N.C. 399, 76 S.E. 262 (1912)); *Skillman v Phoenix Mut.  
Life Ins. Co.*, 258 N.C. 1, 127 S.E.2d 789 (1962); *Harris v. Provident Life & Accident Ins.  
Co.*, 193 N.C. 485, 137 S.E. 430 (1927); see also *Williams v. Pilot Life Ins. Co.*, 288 N.C.  
338, 218 S.E.2d 368 (1975) (finding sufficient evidence that insured suffered an accidental  
fall, not the result of a pre-existing disease, and that her death was a direct result of the  
fall).