N.C.P.I.-Crim. 308.90
JUSTIFICATION FOR DEFENSIVE FORCE NOT AVAILABLE—DEFENDANT ATTEMPTING TO COMMIT, COMMITTING, OR ESCAPING AFTER THE COMMISSION OF A FELONY.
GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME
JUNE 2022
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-51.4(1)

308.90 JUSTIFICATION FOR DEFENSIVE FORCE NOT AVAILABLE—DEFENDANT ATTEMPTING TO COMMIT, COMMITTING, OR ESCAPING AFTER THE COMMISSION OF A FELONY.

NOTE WELL: Instructing the jury on the following causal nexus requirement should only be used if there is some evidence presented that the defendant acted in self-defense while attempting to commit, committing, or escaping after the commission of a felony. See N.C.G.S. § 14-51.4(1). See also State v. McLymore, 2022-NCSC-12. If no such evidence is presented, this instruction would not be given. In addition, the remainder of the substantive instruction, including the mandate, would need to be edited accordingly.

The defendant would not be justified, and is therefore not entitled to the benefit of using defensive force, if [he] [she] was [attempting to commit] [committing] [escaping after the commission of] the felony of (name felony offense alleged), and that felony offense was immediately causally connected to the circumstances giving rise to the defensive force used. As such, for the defendant to be disqualified from the benefit of using defensive force, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt, among other things, that the defendant, while acting in self-defense, was [attempting to commit] [committing] [escaping after the commission of] the felony of (name felony offense alleged), and there was an immediate causal connection between the defendant's use of such defensive force and [his] [her] felonious conduct. In other words, the State must prove that but for the defendant [attempting to commit] [committing] [escaping after the commission of] the felony of (name felony offense alleged),² the confrontation resulting in [injury to] [the death of] the victim would not have occurred.3

N.C.P.I.-Crim. 308.90
JUSTIFICATION FOR DEFENSIVE FORCE NOT AVAILABLE—DEFENDANT ATTEMPTING TO COMMIT, COMMITTING, OR ESCAPING AFTER THE COMMISSION OF A FELONY.
GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME
JUNE 2022
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-51.4(1)

¹. The Supreme Court of North Carolina has held that N.C.G.S. § 14-51.4(1) requires the State to prove "the existence of an immediate causal nexus between the defendant's disqualifying conduct and the confrontation during which the defendant used force," overruling *State v. Crump*, 259 N.C. App. 144 (2018) and subsequent decisions relying on *Crump's* holding. *State v. McLymore*, 2022-NCSC-12, ¶ 30. The trial judge is reminded that this instruction must be inserted within the applicable substantive instruction when the evidence presented supports the use of this additional language. *Id.* at ¶ 35.

². The Supreme Court of North Carolina has recognized the affirmative defense of justification may be available "in narrow and extraordinary circumstances" to the charge of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 14-415.1. *State v. Mercer*, 373 N.C. 459, 463, 838 S.E.2d 359, 363 (2020). The Court has also noted that failing to properly instruct the jury on the causal nexus requirement of N.C.G.S. § 14-51.4 denies a defendant the opportunity to assert such an affirmative defense to dispute the existence of a causal nexus between their violation of N.C.G.S. § 14-415.1 and the use of force. *See State v. McLymore*, 2022-NCSC-12, ¶ 2 (stating that the Court "does not interpret N.C.G.S. § 14-51.4(1) to categorically prohibit individuals with a prior felony conviction from ever using a firearm in self-defense[.]"). *See also* N.C.P.I.—Crim. 310.14 (Justification).

³. See State v. McLymore, 2022-NCSC-12, ¶ 30.