N.C.P.I.—CRIMINAL 270.68
FAILURE TO STOP FOR BLUE LIGHT AND SIREN (APPROACHING LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE) CAUSING DAMAGE TO PROPERTY IN EXCESS OF \$500. MISDEMEANOR. GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME JUNE 2006
N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 20-157(a), (h); 20-125

270.68 FAILURE TO STOP FOR BLUE LIGHT AND SIREN (APPROACHING LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE) CAUSING DAMAGE TO PROPERTY IN EXCESS OF \$500. MISDEMEANOR.

The defendant has been charged with failing to stop for an approaching law enforcement vehicle displaying a blue light and sounding a siren causing damage to property in excess of \$500.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove seven things beyond a reasonable doubt:

<u>First</u>, that the defendant was operating a vehicle. (*Describe vehicle*) is a vehicle.

<u>Second</u>, that the defendant was doing so on a [street] [highway]. (*Describe highway*) is a highway.

<u>Third</u>, that while the defendant was doing so, a law enforcement vehicle approached.¹ A (*describe police vehicle*) is a law enforcement vehicle.

<u>Fourth</u>, that the law enforcement vehicle was displaying a blue warning light.²

<u>Fifth</u>, that the law enforcement vehicle was also sounding a siren³ audible under normal conditions from a distance of not less than 1,000 feet.

<u>Sixth</u>, that the defendant [did not immediately drive his vehicle to a position as near as possible and parallel to the right hand [edge] [curb] of the highway, clear of any intersections, and stop] [after stopping properly, did not remain in that position until [otherwise directed by a [police] [traffic] officer] [the (*describe vehicle*) had passed].]⁴

And <u>Seventh</u>, that in doing so, the defendant caused damage to property in the immediate area of the authorized [emergency vehicle] [public service vehicle] in excess of \$500.

N.C.P.I.—CRIMINAL 270.68

FAILURE TO STOP FOR BLUE LIGHT AND SIREN (APPROACHING LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE) CAUSING DAMAGE TO PROPERTY IN EXCESS OF \$500. MISDEMEANOR.

GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME

JUNE 2006

N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 20-157(a), (h); 20-125

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date the defendant was operating a vehicle on a highway, that while he was doing so a law enforcement vehicle approached displaying a blue warning light and sounding a siren audible under normal conditions from a distance of not less than 1,000 feet, and that the defendant [did not immediately drive his vehicle to a position as near as possible and parallel to the right hand [edge] [curb] of the highway, clear of any intersections, and stop] [after stopping properly, did not remain in that position until [otherwise directed by a [police] [traffic] officer] [the (describe vehicle) had passed], and that in doing so, the defendant caused damage to property in the immediate area of the authorized [emergency vehicle] [public service vehicle] in excess of \$500, it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty. ⁵

1. G.S. § 20-157(a) does not apply to vehicles traveling in opposite directions on a divided four lane highway.

^{2.} G.S. § 20-125(b) authorizes other vehicles of the type covered by G.S. § 20-157(a) to be equipped with "special lights . . . approved by the Commission of motor vehicles." When dealing with a vehicle other than a police vehicle specify the appropriate warning light.

^{3.} G.S. § 20-157(a) also requires drivers to yield to a bell or an exhaust whistle. When dealing with a vehicle other than a police vehicle, insert the appropriate warning sound.

^{4.} It would be an affirmative defense to this charge if the defendant was directed by a police or traffic officer not to stop or to continue to another location. In appropriate cases the jury should be so instructed.

^{5.} If there is evidence to support the submission of a lesser included offense, this last phrase would be amended as follows "If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, then you would not return a verdict of guilty of failure to stop for blue light and siren causing damage to property in excess of \$500, but would consider whether the defendant is guilty of"