

226.58 DISRUPTING TELEPHONE SERVICE OF ANOTHER. MISDEMEANOR.

The defendant has been charged with disrupting the telephone service of another.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove three things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant telephoned (*name person or phone number*).

Second, that the defendant failed to [hang up] [disengage the connection]

And Third, that the defendant acted with the intent to disrupt the telephone service of another.

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date, the defendant telephoned (*name person or phone number*) and failed to [hang up] [disengage the connection] with the intent to disrupt the telephone service of another it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.