

226.57 HARASSING BY REPEATED TELEPHONE CALLS. MISDEMEANOR.

The defendant has been charged with telephoning another repeatedly for the purpose of [abusing] [annoying] [threatening] [terrifying] [harassing] [embarrassing] any person at the called number.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove two things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant repeatedly telephoned (*name person or telephone number*).

And Second, that he did this for the purpose of [abusing] [annoying] [threatening] [terrifying] [harassing] [embarrassing] [(*name person*)] [any person at the called number]

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date(s), the defendant repeatedly telephoned (name person or telephone number) for the purpose of [abusing] [annoying] [threatening] [terrifying] [harassing] [embarrassing] [(*name person*)] [any person at that number], it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or both of these things, it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.