N.C.P.I.-Crim. 216.90 UNAUTHORIZED USE OF A CONVEYANCE. FELONY. MISDEMEANOR. GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME MAY 2002 N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-72.2

216.90 UNAUTHORIZED USE OF A CONVEYANCE. FELONY. MISDEMEANOR.

The defendant has been charged with unauthorized use of a conveyance.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove four things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant [took] [operated] a (describe conveyance).1

Second, that this (describe conveyance) was the property of another.

Third, that the defendant did not have the express or implied consent of the [owner] [person in lawful possession] to [take] [operate] it.

(A person gives express consent when *he* agrees in fact to the specific act of another. A person gives implied consent, even if *he* does not intend to do so, when *he* acts in such a way as to create in the mind of another person the reasonable belief that *he* has agreed to an act of that person.)

(2The mere fact that [owner] [person in lawful possession] may have consented on a prior occasion to the defendant's [taking] [operating] (name conveyance) (or another conveyance) does not, of itself, establish that the [owner] [person in lawful possession] consented to the defendant's [taking] [operation] on this occasion. However, any prior consent by the [owner] [person in lawful possession] would be evidence which you may consider along with all the other evidence in this

N.C.P.I.-Crim. 216.90 UNAUTHORIZED USE OF A CONVEYANCE. FELONY. MISDEMEANOR. GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME MAY 2002 N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-72.2

case in determining whether any belief on the part of the defendant was reasonable.)

And Fourth, that the defendant acted willfully, knowing that *he* was not entitled to [take] [operate] the (*describe conveyance*).

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date, the defendant willfully [took] [operated] a (describe conveyance) that was the property of another and that the [owner] [person in lawful possession] did not expressly or impliedly consent, it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.

^{1.} Included are aircraft, motorboats, motor vehicles and other motor propelled conveyances. The doctrine of recent possession is applicable to this crime. *S. v. Frazier*, 269 N.C. 249 (1966).

^{2.} Use this paragraph only when there is evidence of prior consent.