N.C.P.I.-Crim. 208.82

ASSAULT UPON AN OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF THE STATE OR OF ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OR PUBLIC TRANSIT OPERATOR. MISDEMEANOR.

GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME

JUNE 2011

N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-33(c)(4)

208.82 ASSAULT UPON AN OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF THE STATE OR OF ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OR PUBLIC TRANSIT OPERATOR. MISDEMEANOR.

NOTE WELL: Where there is evidence of justification or excuse (such as self defense or resisting unlawful arrest) use N.C.P.I.-Crim. 208.81 series and not this instruction.

The defendant has been charged with assault¹ upon a(n)

- a) [officer] [employee] of [the State] [a political subdivision of the State] while such [officer] [employee] was [discharging] [attempting to discharge] a duty of *his* office.
- b) public transit operator² while such public transit operator was [discharging] [attempting to discharge] a duty of that office.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove four things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant assaulted the victim by intentionally³ (describe assault).

Second, that the victim was

- a) an [officer] [employee] of [the State] [a political subdivision of the State]
- b) a public transit operator.

N.C.P.I.-Crim. 208.82
ASSAULT UPON AN OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF THE STATE OR OF ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OR PUBLIC TRANSIT OPERATOR. MISDEMEANOR.
GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME
JUNE 2011
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-33(c)(4)

Third, that the victim was [discharging] [attempting to discharge] a duty of the victim's office. (*Describe duty, e.g., magistrate issuing a warrant*) is a duty of that office.⁴

And Fourth, that the defendant knew or had reasonable grounds to know that the victim was

- a) an [officer] [employee] of [the State] [a political subdivision of the State]
- b) a public transit operator.

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date, the defendant intentionally assaulted the victim, that the victim was a(n) (state victim's title) and was [discharging] [attempting to discharge] a duty of his office, and that the defendant knew or had reasonable grounds to know that the victim was a(n) (state victim's title), it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.

^{1.} If a definition of assault is needed, see N.C.P.I.-Crim. 120.20.

^{2.} A public transit operator includes a public employee or a private contractor employed as a public transit operator.

^{3.} If a definition of intent is needed, see N.C.P.I.-Crim. 120.10.

^{4.} When the State contends that the victim was doing one thing that would be a duty of his office, but the evidence is that he may have been doing something else that would not be a duty of his office, describe what would and what would not be a duty of the victim's office.

^{5.} If there is to be an instruction on lesser included offenses, the last phrase should

N.C.P.I.-Crim. 208.82
ASSAULT UPON AN OFFICER OR EMPLOYEE OF THE STATE OR OF ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OR PUBLIC TRANSIT OPERATOR. MISDEMEANOR.
GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME JUNE 2011
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-33(c)(4)

be: ". . . you will not return a verdict of guilty of assault upon an [officer] [employee] of [the State] [a political subdivision of the State]."