N.C.P.I.-Crim. 202.80 FELONIOUS CONSPIRACY. GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME JUNE 2008 N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-2.4

202.80 FELONIOUS CONSPIRACY1.

NOTE WELL: Unless the statute provides otherwise, conspiracy is punished one class lower than the substantive offense.

The defendant has been charged with feloniously conspiring to commit (name crime; e.g., "larceny").

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove three things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant and (name other person or persons)² entered into an agreement.

Second, that the agreement was to commit (name crime, e.g., "larceny"). (Name crime) is (describe elements of the crime.)

And Third, that the defendant and (name other person or persons) intended that the agreement be carried out at the time it was made.

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date, the defendant agreed with (name other person or persons) to commit (name crime; e.g., "larceny"), and that the defendant and that (those) person(s) intended at the time the agreement was made that it would be carried out, it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.

^{1. &}quot;A criminal conspiracy is an agreement between two or more people to do an unlawful act or to do a lawful act in an unlawful manner. In order to prove conspiracy, the State need not prove an express agreement; evidence tending to show a mutual, implied

N.C.P.I.-Crim. 202.80 FELONIOUS CONSPIRACY. GENERAL CRIMINAL VOLUME JUNE 2008 N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-2.4

understanding will suffice. This evidence may be circumstantial or inferred from the defendant's behavior. The crime of conspiracy does not require an overt act for its completion; the agreement itself is the crime." *State v. Shelly*, 181 N.C. App. 196 (2007) (citations omitted).

2. If one or more co-conspirators are named in the indictment state their names. The jury must find that the defendant entered into an agreement with at least one of the named persons. *State v. Minter*, 111 N.C. App. 40 (1993), *cert. denied*, 335 N.C. 241 (1993). *See also*, *State v. Mickey*, 207 N.C. 608 (1935).