## 102.60 CONCURRING NEGLIGENCE.<sup>1</sup>

People may be held jointly and severally liable for their separate<sup>2</sup> acts of negligence.

In defining proximate cause I explained that there may be two or more proximate causes of [an injury] [damage]. This occurs when separate and independent acts or omissions of different people concur, that is, combine, to produce a single result. Thus, if the negligent acts or omissions of two (or more) people concur to produce the [injury] [damage] complained of, the conduct of each person is a proximate cause. Each person is jointly and severally liable for the [injury] [damage] that results, even though one person may have been more or less negligent than another.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Cases involving concurring negligence may also involve "insulating" negligence. *See* N.C.P.I.-Civil 102.65.

<sup>2.</sup> Where the negligent acts result from coordinated or concerted conduct, joint negligence may be involved. *See* N.C.P.I.-Civil 102.90 ("Joint Conduct-Multiple Tortfeasors").

<sup>3.</sup> See generally Riddle v. Artis, 246 N.C. 629, 99 S.E.2d 857 (1957); Barber v. Wooten, 234 N.C. 107, 66 S.E.2d 690 (1951); Hall v. Coble Dairies, 234 N.C. 206, 67 S.E.2d 63 (1951); Grimes v. Gibert, 6 N.C. App. 304, 170 S.E.2d 65 (1969).