Loper Bright and the End of Chevron Deference: Implications for North Carolina State and Local Government

NOVEMBER 15, 2024 KIRSTEN E. LELOUDIS, JD, MPH UNC SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT



Presentation Roadmap

Loper Bright: An Introduction
 Backstory: let's talk about fish

Backstory: let's talk about fish
 The Supreme Court's decision

The End of Chevron Deference and a New Era

• Challenges to federal agency regulations
• Corner Post, Inc. v. Board of Governors Federal Reserve System

What Does This Mean for North Carolina?

How federal regulations impact state and local government work
 Administrative rulemaking in North Carolina



Refresher: Federal Regulations

- Congress... Enacts laws Can enact a law directing an agency to promulgate regulations Directions from Congress ("scope of authority" for the agency) can be broad or specific

- Agencies...

 Must use a specific process for adopting regulations that involves multiple opportunities for public notice and comment

 Cannot adopt regulations without authority from Congress or outside the scope of authority given to them by Congress

4

Loper Bright Enterprises v. Raimondo



Citation: 603 U.S. __ (2024) Lower Court: U.S. Court of Appeals- D.C. Decision Date: June 28, 2024 Decided: 6-2 (Justice Jackson did not participate)

Impact: Overturned Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. (1984), which was the basis for 40 years of legal precedent and considered a "cornerstone" of administrative law





History of the Case

1976- Congress passes the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA) • 16 USC 1801, et seq

- Primary law governing marine fisheries management and sustainability in United States waters
- Administered by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)
- Marine fisheries ultimately get managed at the regional level

UNC SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT- 2024



History of the Case, cont.

16 USC 1853(b)(8)- allows regional fishery management entities to require that **observers** be allowed onboard certain vessels during fishing trips

Observers monitor crew's compliance with federal law, including catch limits for fish species

Observers are professionals who must be paid for their work
 Estimated cost: \$710 per day, per observer

UNC SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT- 202



History of the Case, cont.

The MSA clearly says the following groups must shoulder the cost of these observers:

cost of these observers.

Certain foreign fishing vessels

Vessels participating in limited access privilege programs
Vessels operating in the north Pacific region

... but who is missing from this list in the MSA?

Other groups, including Atlantic herring fishing companies
 Nevertheless, NMFS regulations say these groups could be
 required to pay for observers, too

INC SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT- 2024



 ${\it Atlantic Herring-Clupea harengus}$

History of the Case, cont.

Loper Bright Enterprises, Inc.- an Atlantic herring fishing company- takes issue with this

Loper Bright Enterprises and several other companies sue NMFS

Argument: MSA does not authorize the NMFS to adopt a regulatory scheme that requires these Atlantic herring fishing companies to pay for observers

10



Lower Court Decisions

District Court rules in favor of NMFS; D.C. Circuit affirms

Both courts reference the "Chevron doctrine"

Both courts reference the "Chevron doctrine" - Also Intown as "Chevron deference" - Comes from 1984 U.S. Supreme Court (SCOTUS) decision - Comes into play when Congress's instructions to a federal mathematical and the state of the state of the state of the for the segrey are unclear and contested - Chevron required courts to defer to an agency's interpretation of an ambiguous statute as long as that interpretation was "reasonable"

Next up: the case is appealed to SCOTUS

IL OF GOVERNMENT- 2024



Questions for SCOTUS

1. Does the MSA authorize NMFS to create regulations requiring the fishing industry to pay for observers?

2. Should SCOTUS clarify, or even overturn, the ruling from Chevron?



The Outcome

SCOTUS overturns Chevron Vote is 6-2, with majority opinion written by Chief Justice Roberts
 Concurring opinions from Justices Thomas and Gorsuch
 Dissent by Justice Kagan, Joined by Sotomayor
 Justice Jackson does not participate

13

The Outcome, cont.

The majority's argument, summarized:

- Administrative Procedure Act (APA) of 1946 requires courts to "decide all relevant questions of law" when reviewing agency actions
- This directive from the APA is in tension with Chevron deference, which says court should defer to agencies' reasonable interpretations of statutes
- Chevron deference has been applied inconsistently in the past; it's confusing and unworkable

Chevron has also been limited by recent cases

14



The Outcome, cont.

Conclusion: Chevron overturned, and courts now "must exercise their independent judgment in deciding whether an agency has acted within its statutory authority"

Agency input may inform, but not determine, a court's interpretation of the statute at issue

Note: SCOTUS says overturning of *Chevron* ≠ overturning of all past cases decided using the *Chevron* doctrine.

RNMENT- 2024



The Dissent

"In one fell swoop, the majority today gives itself exclusive power over every open issue—no matter how expertise-driven or policy-laden—involving the meaning of regulatory law."

"Agencies often know things about a statute's subject matter that courts could not hope to. The point is especially stark when the statute is of a 'scientific or technical nature: [...] Agencies are staffed with 'experts in the field' who can bring their training and knowledge to bear on open statutory questions. [...] Consider, for example, the first bulleted case above. When does an alpha amino acid polymer qualify as a 'pratein' [...] don't know many judges who would feel confident resolving that issue."

- Justice Kagan dissent, pgs. 3, 8

UNC SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT- 2024

16

17



The Public's Reaction

Disagreement over whether the Loper Bright decision was good or bad- but seeming consensus that it's significant

The End of *Chevron* Deference and a New Era

Challenges to Agency Regulations

19



The Corner Post Decision

United States District Court Northern District of Texas Lubbock Division

CIVIL ACTION NO.

State of Texas, Plaintiff,

Def

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; XAVIRE BECERA, in his official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services; MELANEE FORTHS RAINER, in her official capacity as Director of the Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights, Defendent

Corner Post, Inc. v. Board of Governors Federal Reserve System, 603 U.S. __ (2024)

Holding: statute of limitations (SOL) for a claim under federal APA begins to run when the plaintiff's injured by the agency's action (not when the agency took its action)
 Expands the opportunity for someone harmed by the implementation or enforcement of a federal regulation to bring a lawsuit

Paired with Loper Bright decision, may make it easier to challenge agency regulations

OF GOVERNMENT- 2024





But for now...

Federal agency regulations are still good law, until or unless a court says otherwise!

IOOL OF GOVERNMENT- 2024

What Does This Mean for North Carolina?

22



Do Federal Regulations Impact NC State and Local Government Work? Answer: yes!

Myriad federal regulations directly govern or otherwise impact the work of state and local governments

Here is a (non-exhaustive) list of examples \rightarrow

MENT- 2024

23

Federal Regulations Impacting NC State and Local Government Work:

Social services:

- State 342 (TKES): Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) regulations at 25 CFR 23 (state child custody proceedings that involve Indian children) Child support enforcement regulations at 45 CFR 301-310 (administration of the federal/state Title IV-D program) Public health:

- <u>uutic cealth</u>: Health insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations at 45 CFR 160, 162, and 164 (protection of certain health information) Titlex / regulations at 24 CFR 59 (administration of fide-atily funded programs providing low- or no cost family planning services) Confidentiality of Subtance: Use Bioted Patient Records regulations at 42 CFR 2 (protection of certain substance use disorder treatment records)

OF GOVERNMENT- 2024

- Public education:

 • Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) regulations at 34 CFR 99 (governing access to student education records)

 • Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) regulations at 34 CFR 300 and 34 CFR 303 (governing education access for children with disabilities)
- Title IX regulations at 34 CFR 106 (prohibiting sex-based discrimination in education and other programs receiving federal funds)

Federal Regulations Impacting NC State and Local Government Work:

- <u>Juvenile justice:</u> · Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act formula grant program regulations at 28 CFR 31 (eligibility requirements for funding) Procurement and grants: • Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Frederal Awards regulations at 2 CFR 200 (requirements for non-federal entities receiving Edderal awards)
- Economic and community development:
 Community development
 Community development
 Community Development Block Crant regulations at 24 CRB 570 (use of
 Bedraf Linds by municipal and county governments to support access to
 housing and economic opportunities for low- to moderate-income
 individuals
- Environmental: Water quality classification regulations at 40 CFR 131 Stormwater and other National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit (including sedimentation and erosion control regulations) at 40 CFR 122 and 123
- Other:
 Americans with Disabilities Act Trile II regulations at 28 CFR
 So (nordiscrimination on the basis of disability in state and local
 So (nordiscrimination)
 amily Medical Leave Act regulations at 20 CFR 825 (regularing employers,
 including many government employees, to ensure Job-protected leave for
 employees in certain stuations)

25



Does *Loper Bright* Change Rules* in NC?

Answer: No! Or at least, not directly. NC has its own rulemaking processes and legal standards, which are not directly altered by *Loper Bright*.

*In NC, we call the regulations promulgated by agencies "rules," not regulations.

26

North Carolina Rules



Similar to federal system we discussed earlier-Legislature enacts laws and may give an agency statutory authority to adopt rules

- Authority can be broad or specific/narrow
- Agency cannot act without, or outside the scope of, its statutory authority Agencies adopt rules using process in G.S. 150B, the NC Administrative Procedure Act



North Carolina Rules + the Courts

How have NC courts approached situations where the legislature's directions to an agency (in statute) are ambiguous and contested?

Courts should give a state agency's interpretation of a statute that it administers "great weight," but the agency's interpretations are not binding
 N.C. Appuncture Licensing Bd. x. N.C. Bd. of Physical Therapy Exam'rs, 371 N.C. 697, 700 (2018)

28

North Carolina Rules + the Courts, cont.

Another consideration: NC has a different process for contesting agency rules

 Federal system: party challenges agency action by going straight to federal district court NC: administrative decisions typically appealed to administrative law judges (ALJs) with the NC Office
of Administrative Hearings (OAH); these are called "contested cases"

Why does this matter?

 G.S. 150B-34(a) says ALJ must "giv[e] due regard to the demonstrated knowledge and expertise of the agency with respect to facts and inferences within the specialized knowledge of the agency" All decision can be appealed to superior court and is typically reviewed under a two-part approach: questions of law get de novo review (where the judge considers the issue anew) and questions of fact are addressed with deference to the ALJ's findings using the "whole record" test

29



What's Next in North Carolina?

Even though the Loper Bright decision did not directly impact North Carolina rules and rulemaking agencies, we could see NC courts follow the approach set by SCOTUS in Loper Bright and begin giving less deference to rulemaking agencies



Key Takeaways

- SCOTUS's 2024 decision in *Loper Bright* overturned the *Chevron* doctrine and 40 years of legal precedent
- After Loper Bright and Corner Post, it may be easier to successfully challenge federal agency regulations
 This could impact NC state and local government, whose work is shaped by myriad federal regulations
- work is shaped by myriad federal regulations
 For now, all federal regulations are still good law!
- Loper Bright does not directly impact NC rules and rulemaking agencies- but could inform how state courts approach deference to rulemaking agencies

UNC SCHOOL OF GOVERNMENT- 2024

31



Except as otherwise noted, images included in this presentation are from Pexels (<u>www.pexels.com</u>) and were last accessed on Nov. 12, 2024.

Additional information about the license and use of images available from Pexels can be found here: <u>https://www.pexels.com/license/</u>.

Additional Resources Jim Joyce and Kirsten Leloudis, "What the End of *Chevron* Deference Means for North Carolina Governments," July 25, 2024, available at: <u>https://canons.sog.unc.edu/2024/07/endof-chevron-deference/</u>



Questions?

Thank you for your time. If you have additional questions at a later date, please send me an email or give me call.

Email (preferred): <u>Kirsten@sog.unc.edu</u> Office: 919-966-4210